

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,204	12/22/1999	SATOSHI NISHIKAWA	862.3177	5888
5514 7:	590 02/13/2004	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PHAM, THIERRY L	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	T
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	b

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/470,204	NISHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Thierry L Pham	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> •				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/470,204

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Printing control system for controlling printing order and insertion of paper between OHP and transparency.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (U.S. 5749024).

Regarding claim 7, Young discloses a printing control apparatus (printer controller #100, Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 1-28) for controlling a printing device to output predetermined data onto a printing medium, comprising: separation printing check means (checks via printer controller 100, fig. 1, col. 1, lines 65-67 to col. 2, lines 1-12 and col. 4, lines 45-60) for checking a separating separation printing setting, set in advance, representing whether a predetermined medium (paper sheet, col. 2, lines 30-67) is additionally output over each page of the output predetermined data result (transparency, col. 2, lines 30-67); printing order check means (printing order control system, col. 2, lines 30-67) for checking a printing order setting, set in advance, representing whether the predetermined data is output from a final page or from a first page (face-up, from page 1 to pages N, or face-down, from pages N to page 1) printing, col. 2, lines 30-67); and control means (output modules, col. 2, lines 1-10) for selectively controlling, when the separation printing setting is set to additionally output the predetermined medium and the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/470,204

Art Unit: 2624

printing order setting is set to output the predetermined data from the first page, controlling to output each page of the output predetermined data result and then additionally output the predetermined medium before a next page is output (transparency than paper sheet if printing face-down, abstract and col. 2, lines 30-67 and col. 5, lines 5-17), and when the separation printing setting is set to additionally output the predetermined medium and the printing order setting is set to output the predetermined data from the final page, erg to additionally output the predetermined medium before each page of the output predetermined data result is outputs (paper sheet than transparency if printing face-up, abstract and col. 2, lines 30-67 and col. 5, lines 5-17); wherein the printing order setting can be set with respect to individual print jobs (the printing can be set with respect to individual print jobs by interchanging an attachment of a different output module or printer controller 100 of fig. 1, col. 1, lines 65-67 to col. 2, lines 1-10 and col. 3, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 10, Young further discloses the apparatus according to claim 7, wherein the printing medium is a transparent resin film, and the predetermined medium is paper (transparency and paper sheet, Abstract and col. 5, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 1, 4: Claims 1, 4 are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 7, 10 (respectively). The method claims are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus claims. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 7, 10 above.

Claims 14-19 recites limitations that are similar and/or correspond to claim 1 as described above; therefore, the methods and/or apparatus claims recited (14-19) are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus claim as described above (claim 1). Please see rejection basis/rationale as described in claim 1 above.

Claims 13, 20-22 recites limitations that are similar and corresponds to claim 1 except computer readable memory medium for storing program is claimed rather that printing system or data output apparatus. All computers/printers have some type of computer readable memory

Application/Control Number: 09/470,204

Art Unit: 2624

medium for storing computer programs, hence claim 13 would be rejected using the same rationale as in claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young as described in claims 1 and/or 7 above, and in view of Ishizuka et al (US 5282050).

Regarding claims 8-9, Young does not explicitly disclose wherein the apparatus according to claim 7, further comprising: a saving state check means for checking whether the printing device is set to a saving state in which a page having no output data is not output; and saving function invalidating means for invalidating setting of the saving state when setting of additionally outputting the predetermined medium is detected by said separation printing check means and setting of the saving state is detected by said saving function check means.

Ishizuka, in the same field of endeavor for printing, discloses a saving state check means for checking whether the printing device is set to a saving state in which a page having no output data is not output (col. 5, lines 49-67); and saving function invalidating means (since Ishizuka's reference prohibits printing of blank page; therefore, Ishizuka also capable of invalidating of such settings, that is, reversed its settings) for invalidating setting of the saving state when setting of additionally outputting the predetermined medium is detected by said separation printing check means and setting of the saving state is detected by said saving function check means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Young as per teachings of Ishizuka because of a following reason: (1) to eliminate and/or reduce printing cost by "prohibit and/or prevent" printing of pages that contain no data or blank pages.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Young with Ishizuka to obtain the invention as specified in claims 8-9.

Regarding claim 11, Young further discloses the apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the predetermined content is the same as a content printed on each page of the output result (print job data such as images, col. 3, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 12, Young further discloses the apparatus according to claim 7, further comprising spool means for converting the predetermined data into another format and saving the converted data as a spool file (it is known in the art that print data are converted to a printer format/languages before printing, i.e., PDL, PCL, and/or raster data. Such conversion can be done by printer driver); and de-spooler means for mapping the spool file under control of said control means and supplying the mapped file to the printing device.

Regarding claims 2-3, 5-6: Claims 2-3, 5-6 are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 8-9, 11-12 (respectively). The method claims are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus claims. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 8-9, 11-12 above.

#### Conclusion

- 6. Previous Non-Final rejections had been withdrawn.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/470,204

Art Unit: 2624

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

January 04, 2004